

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to call for user image data to be automatically transmitted to participants of an ad hoc wireless network.

The cited reference just teaches displaying a map which includes cars associated with different users. But the concept of providing an image of the user to thereby identify the user is nowhere suggested. One fundamental advantage of the claimed invention is that all the users may be identified, even if each of the users speaks a different language. Moreover, the benefit of image information is that generally people like to see people they are carrying on a conversation with.

The cited reference does not teach providing user image data. The material cited with respect to the rejection of claim 20 has nothing to do with image data. The item 505 is explained in further detail at column 13, starting at line 43. The block 505 is only about how you select from the map one or more of the communication image units so that they may be regrouped. There is no discussion of any image information.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 18, 2006



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation